LAW OFFICES

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP*

KATHERINE A. TREFZ (202) 434-5038 ktrefz@wc.com 680 MAINE AVENUE SW WASHINGTON, DC 20024 (202) 434-5000 WWW.WC.COM EDWARD BENNETT WILLIAMS (1920-1988) PAUL R. CONNOLLY (1922-1978)

December 6, 2024

VIA ECF

The Honorable Jessica G. L. Clarke United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St., Courtroom 11B New York, NY 10007

Re: United States v. Anton Peraire-Bueno, et al., 24 Cr. 293 (JGLC) — Letter Motion

for Leave to Redact Memoranda of Law, Declaration, and Exhibits, and to File

Exhibits Under Seal

Dear Judge Clarke:

We represent the Defendants in this matter, Anton Peraire-Bueno and James Peraire-Bueno. Pursuant to your Honor's Individual Rules in Criminal Cases 8(d) and 8(e), we respectfully request leave to file Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion to Compel Production of *Brady* Material, Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion for a Bill of Particulars, and the Declaration of Katherine Trefz ("Trefz Declaration"), as well as Exhibits 1, 2, 4, and 5 to the Trefz Declaration, with redactions. In addition, Defendants respectfully request leave to file Exhibits 6 and 7 to the Trefz Declaration under seal. As explained in further detail below, the Protective Order (ECF 25) entered by the Court requires that Defendants redact certain information in these documents, and file certain of these exhibits under seal.

In accordance with Rule 8(d)(iii), Defendants have filed redacted versions of the relevant memoranda of law, declaration, and exhibits on the public docket. Defendants have also provided to chambers via email clean copies of the memoranda, declaration, and exhibits, as well as copies that highlight the material that Defendants redacted. And, in accordance with Rule 8(e)(i), Defendants have filed single-page placeholders marked "Filed Under Seal" in place of the two exhibits that they seek leave to file under seal (Exhibits 6 and 7 to the Trefz Declaration). Defendants have also provided chambers via email with copies of these two exhibits.

I. Relevant Background

On May 24, 2024, this Court entered an agreed Protective Order, which set forth rules and procedures for the use of four categories of protected information: Disclosure Material, Sealed Material, Attorney's Possession Only Material, and Attorney's Eyes Only Material. Sealed

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY_{LLP*} The Honorable Jessica G. L. Clarke December 6, 2024 Page 2

Material "contains information that identifies, or could lead to the identification of, witnesses who may be subject to intimidation or obstruction, and whose lives, persons, and property, as well as the lives, persons and property of loved ones, will be subject to risk of harm absent the protective considerations set forth herein or information that may reveal trade secrets or other information that may cause financial loss or other harm to a business entity." Doc. 25 at 2.

When the government designates certain documents or material as Sealed Material and they are "pertinent to any motion before the Court," those documents "should initially be filed under seal, absent consent of the Government or Order of the Court." *Id.* at 3. Exhibits 6 and 7 to the Trefz Declaration in support of Defendants' motions, which are cited and discussed in the redacted portions of the relevant memoranda of law, are documents that the government has designated as Sealed Material when they were initially produced. The redacted information in Exhibits 1, 2, 4, and 5—*i.e.*, the identity of one of the alleged victims—is derived from documents the government has designated as Sealed Material.

II. Legal Standard

A three-step process governs the Court's sealing analysis. "First, the court determines whether the record at issue is a 'judicial document'—a document to which the presumption of public access attaches." *Mirlis v. Greer*, 952 F.3d 51, 59 (2d Cir. 2020). "[A] judicial document is one that has been placed before the court by the parties and that is 'relevant to the performance of the judicial function and useful in the judicial process." *Id.* (quoting *United States v. Amodeo*, 44 F.3d 141, 145 (2d Cir. 1995)). The relevant memoranda of law, declaration, and exhibits are relevant and useful to the Court's determination of Defendants' Joint Motion to Compel Production of *Brady* Material and Defendants' Joint Motion for a Bill of Particulars.

As such, the Court must then "determine the weight of the presumption of access to that document" and it then "must identify all of the factors that legitimately counsel against disclosure of the judicial document, and balance those factors against the weight properly accorded the presumption of access." *Id.* "If, at the end of this process, the balance of the factors tips against permitting public access, then the court may deny disclosure." *Id.*

III. Argument

Because the government has designated Exhibits 6 and 7 as Sealed Material and those exhibits are cited and discussed in the two relevant memoranda of law, and because the identity of one of the alleged victims is derived from Sealed Material, the Protective Order requires Defendants to seek leave to file the relevant memoranda of law, the Trefz Declaration, and certain of its exhibits with redactions and for leave to file Exhibits 6 and 7 under seal. In so doing, Defendants do not concede that the government properly designated Exhibits 6 and 7 or other material identifying one of the alleged victims as Sealed Material and reserve the right to challenge that designation in the future. Likewise, Defendants take no position as to whether the factors that counsel against disclosure of these documents and information outweigh the presumption of public access.

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP* The Honorable Jessica G. L. Clarke December 6, 2024 Page 3

IV. Conclusion

Defendants respectfully request that the Court permit them to file redacted versions of their Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion to Compel Production of *Brady* Material, Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion for a Bill of Particulars, the Trefz Declaration, and its Exhibits 1, 2, 4, and 5. In addition, Defendants respectfully request that the Court permit them to file Exhibits 6 and 7 to the Trefz Declaration and unredacted versions of the above-referenced memoranda of law and Exhibits 1, 2, 4, and 5 under seal.

By: <u>/s/ Katherine Trefz</u>

Katherine Trefz (pro hac vice)
Daniel Shanahan (pro hac vice)
Patrick J. Looby (pro hac vice pending)
Williams & Connolly LLP
680 Maine Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20024
Tel: (202) 434-5000
ktrefz@wc.com
dshanahan@wc.com
plooby@wc.com

Jonathan P. Bach Shapiro Arato Bach 1140 Avenue of the Americas 17th Floor New York, NY 10036 Tel: 212-257-4897 jbach@shapiroarato.com

Counsel for Defendant James Peraire-Bueno

By: /s/ Daniel N. Marx

Daniel N. Marx William W. Fick (*pro hac vice*) Fick & Marx LLP 24 Federal Street, 4th Floor Boston, MA 02110

Tel: 857-321-8360

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY_{LLP}. The Honorable Jessica G. L. Clarke December 6, 2024 Page 4

dmarx@fickmarx.com wfick@fickmarx.com

Counsel for Defendant Anton Peraire-Bueno